

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upoto.gov

			1	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,257	03/24/2004	Nobuo Hiraki	119254	3835
25944 OLIFF & BER	7590 04/11/200 RIDGE, PLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 320	850	BOLLINGER, DAVID H		
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22320-4850		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3653	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

13. Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/807,257	HIRAKI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
David H. Bollinger	3653		

	David H. Bollinger	3653	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 12 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>\( \)\[ \]\[ \]\ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (i	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07( Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (a) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complete.	ion as with 27 CER 44 27 must be	Elad within two months	of the date of
Filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise final rejection.	sideration and/or search (see NO		cause
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or</li> </ul>		ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> </ol>	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all  non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		•	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		I be entered and an e	planation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
	door NOT place the application in	condition for allowen	no hogoupo:
11. Mar The request for reconsideration has been considered but Applicant's arguments with regard to claims 1,22,32 independently from one another since they are driven by are not persuasive. Claims 44 and 45 do not require the area between the rollers which forms a line adjacent to tiles which is what claims 44 and 45 require. Accordingly while the rejection of claims 44 and 45 remain rejected a	and 35 are persuasive in that the the same motor. Applicant's argu- independent rotation of the rollers he axes of the rollers which dispose y, the rejection of claims 1, 22, 23,	rollers of Koyama can ments with regard to c Further, the suction the rollers on a plura 27, 30 and 35 has be	not rotate laims 44 and 45 opening is the lity of parrallel en withdrawn
44 and 45 are rejected.			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (	P1O/SB/08) Paper No(s)		

/David H Bollinger/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3653

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20080408